

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/672,510	TIEMANN, PETER	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Ehud Gartenberg	3746	

**All Participants:**

(1) Ehud Gartenberg.

(2) John Musone.

**Status of Application: Allowed**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 April 2005

**Time:** AM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
 NA

Claims discussed:  
 All amended claims

Prior art documents discussed:  
 Kelm 4628694

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Agreement was reached on the Examiner's amendment that defined the claimed invention over the prior art of record and also fixed a minor language error ("means" instead of -- devices --).*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)